

PHASE II DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

**GOLD CROWN FIELD HOUSE
TOWN OF CASTLE ROCK, COLORADO
CASE NO. SDP26-0014**

Prepared for:

Gold Crown Foundation
150 S Harlan St.
Lakewood, CO 80226
Contact: Kevin Petty
Phone: 303.233.6776

Prepared by:



CORE Consultants, Inc.
3473 South Broadway
Englewood, CO 80113
Contact: Todd Wolma, PE
Phone: 303-703-4444
CORE Project Number: 25-092-001

June 12, 2026

Engineer's Statement:

I affirm that this report and plan for the Phase II drainage design of Gold Crown Field House, was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) in accordance with the provisions of the Town of Castle Rock Drainage Design and Technical Criteria for the owners thereof. I understand that the Town of Castle Rock does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

Todd Wolma, P.E.
Colorado Professional Engineer
License #59513

Table of Contents

I. GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	4
A. Site Location	4
B. Description of Property.....	4
II. DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS	5
A. Major Drainage Basins	5
B. Minor Drainage Basins	5
III. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA	8
A. Regulations	8
B. Drainage Studies, Master Plans, Site Constraints	8
C. Hydrology	9
D. Hydraulics	9
E. Storage and Water Quality Enhancement	10
IV. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY DESIGN.....	10
A. Stormwater Conveyance Facilities.....	10
B. Stormwater Storage Facilities	11
C. Water Quality Enhancement Control Measures	11
D. Floodplain Modification	12
E. Geotechnical Investigation and Sub-surface Collection System Design	12
F. Operation and Maintenance	12
G. Additional Permitting Requirements.....	13
H. General.....	13
V. CONCLUSIONS.....	13
A. Compliance with Standards.....	13
B. Variances	13
C. Drainage Concept	13
VI. REFERENCES.....	15
VII. APPENDICES	15

Appendices

Appendix A	Vicinity Map, FEMA Firm Panel, Soil Report, Precipitation
Appendix B	Hydrologic Computations
Appendix C	Hydraulic Computations
Appendix D	Water Quality Enhancement Control Measures

Back Pocket

Drainage Maps

I. GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

A. Site Location

This drainage report supports the design of Gold Crown Field House development, which consists of an 83,000 sf indoor sports facility, 82,000 sf of outdoor playing fields and associated vehicle circulation drives and parking.

The project area is in the Northwest Quarter of Section 22, Township 8 South, Range 67 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian.

The proposed Gold Crown Field House is within the Dawson Trails planned development. At present, the site is vacant land. The site is bounded by Dawson Trails Boulevard on the southeast, an open space parcel (Tract C) on the south, rural residential lots of the unincorporated Twin Oaks subdivision on the west, and undeveloped, unincorporated parcels on the north. The development parcel lies within the southern half of Planning Area PL1-07, which designates parks, recreation, cultural and civic facilities as allowable uses. The northern half of PL 1-07 is planned to be a sports facility, with on-site drainage generally being separate from the GCFH facility.

B. Description of Property

The legal description of the development parcel is Dawson Trails Filing No. 1, Tract F, with a total land area of 44.1 acres. The proposed development will improve the southern 25 acres of the tract as a separate lot.

The project is proposed to be phased, with the current phase including a smaller 69,000-sf fieldhouse and associated parking. Future phases will include a 14,000-sf building expansion, turf fields, additional access road and additional parking. The on-site detention pond has been sized to accommodate the full buildout condition, with minimal updates to the outlet structure as future phases are developed.

The project site has been recently graded with areas of significant cut on the west and north edges of the site. Cut slopes on the west and north fringes are generally 4:1, and the site interior is consistently graded at about 3%, sloping toward the northeast. Ground cover consists of newly reseeded grasses.

Soils within the project area are detailed on the soils map in Appendix A. Most of the project site is covered by hydrologic group C soils. A small area of historic type B soils is in the northwest corner of the site and a small area of historic type A soils is in the southeast corner of the site.

No major drainageways pass through the site boundary. A very small finger of the floodplain from Gambel Ridge North Tributary (0.004 acres) crosses the southeast corner of the property. The floodplain encroachment results from a backwater condition and is not an area of effective flow conveyance. The floodplain encroachment is also well outside the limits of proposed disturbance. The Gambel North floodplain is recognized by the Town of Castle Rock, but is not a FEMA-mapped drainageway. The entire site is within flood hazard Zone X unshaded,

outside of the 0.2% annual chance flood, as depicted on FIRM panel 08035C0282G.

There are no irrigation canals within or near the project area.

There are no notable geologic features within or near the site.

The proposed land use is a recreational facility, which consists of a 83,000 building, 82,000 sf of outdoor playing fields and associated vehicle circulation drives and parking.

II. DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS

A. Major Drainage Basins

The entire development site lies within Basin A as defined by the Dawson Trails Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report [Reference E]. A significant area of off-site runoff drains eastward and southward toward the site from undeveloped and rural residential parcels that are outside of the Dawson Trails Planned Development Boundary and outside of the Town of Castle Rock incorporation limits. These off-site basins west and north of the project site are defined as OS-A, consistent with their delineations in the Dawson Trails Filing Phase III Drainage Report.

The areas within the development site (Tract F) are defined as sub-basins A3, A6 and A9 in the Dawson Trail Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report. These areas are overlot graded and seeded. They are assumed to have a build-out imperviousness of 25%. All areas of Tract F and off-site areas west of tract F surface drain over rundowns and interim swales to existing area inlets at design points A3, A6 and A9. Intercepted flows are routed through an existing storm sewer system in Dawson Trails Boulevard to Pond A.

There are no major drainageways within the project limits or within the Pond A watershed. Gambel Ridge North Tributary is an improved drainageway that traverses Tract C, south of the project site, but no part of the proposed development routes to that drainageway under existing conditions or the proposed design.

B. Minor Drainage Basins

Offsite Drainage Basins (routed to Pond A)

7 offsite sub-basins within OS-A drain into Tract F from across the western and northern property lines.

Sub-basin OS-A3.1 contains a narrow off-site watershed that drains southward toward the project site. Consistent with Filing 1 infrastructure construction, OS-A3.1 drains to existing Inlet A12 in northern Tract F. With construction of the proposed roundabout and northern retaining wall, flows from this basin are routed to inlet A9 through the relocated swale north of the entrance drive.

Sub-basin OS-A3 contains undeveloped off-site area that drains into existing basin A9. With construction of the proposed roundabout and northern retaining wall,

flows from this basin are routed to inlet A9 through the re-located swale north of the entrance drive.

Sub-basins OS-A4, OS-A5 & OS-A6 contain undeveloped off-site areas that drain into existing basin A6. The existing surface flow path to existing Inlet A6 is interrupted by proposed construction, and the offsite flows route through a bypass storm system to their original interception point at design point A6.

Sub-basins OS-A7 & OS-A8 contain rural residential off-site areas that drain into existing basin A3. The existing surface flow path to existing Inlet A3 is interrupted by proposed construction, and the offsite flows route through a bypass storm system to their original interception point at design point A3.

Sub-basins A1, A4 and A7 contain the west half of Dawson Trails Boulevard along the project frontage. These areas drain, respectively to type R inlets A1, A4 and A7 on the west edge of Dawson Trails Boulevard. The proposed development causes minor adjustment to the delineations of these basins, but there are no significant alterations of their flow patterns or associated drainage infrastructure. These basins are included in the runoff calculations for complete accounting of all impact flow areas.

On-site Basins routed to Pond A

Sub-basin A3.1 contains areas of the buffer zone west of the development site. This area is recently reseeded and is assigned an imperviousness of 20%. A3.1 receives run-on flows from basins OS-A7 and OS-A8. The existing flow path is interrupted by a proposed retaining wall, which re-directs flows through a swale above the wall to area inlet A3.1. Another proposed area inlet captures flows from OS-A7 immediately east of the western property boundary. Combined flows from inlets OS-A7 and A3.1 are routed through a bypass storm sewer to design point A3 of the existing Dawson Trails Boulevard storm sewer system.

Sub-basin A3.2 contains undeveloped areas of Tract F south of the project site. This area is recently reseeded and is assigned an imperviousness of 20%. Consistent with Filing 1 infrastructure construction, the runoff from sub-basin A3.2 drains through the existing roadside swale west of Dawson Trails Boulevard to existing area inlet A3. With construction of the proposed southern access drive, flows will route through a culvert and to proposed area inlet A3.2, which connects to the bypass storm sewer at design point A3.

Sub-basins A3.3 and A3.4 contain the southern leg of proposed Road 2 between the Road 2 high point and Dawson Trails Boulevard. Flows are captured by inlets A3.3 and A3.4 at the low point in Road 2, where they combine with flows from A3.2. Combined flows are captured in area inlet A3.2 and routed to the bypass storm sewer at design point A3. The composite imperviousness of sub-basins A3.2, A3.3 & A3.4 does not exceed the 25% imperviousness assumption that is accommodated by Pond A.

Sub-basins A6.1 and A6.2 contain undeveloped areas of Tract F northwest of the project site. These areas are recently reseeded and are assigned an imperviousness of 20%. A6.1 and A6.2 receive run-on flows from basins OS-A6/OS-

A5 and Os-A4, respectively. Combined flows are intercepted by area inlets A6.1 and A6.2 and are routed through a bypass storm sewer to design point A6 of the existing Dawson Trails Boulevard storm sewer system.

Sub-basins A9.1 and A9.2 contain the proposed north access drive between the proposed roundabout and Dawson Trails Boulevard. Flows are captured by inlets A9.1 and A9.2 at the low point in Road 1 and area routed through existing swale A9 and ultimately to existing inlet A9 and the Dawson Trails Boulevard storm sewer system.

Sub-basin A9.3 contains areas of existing basin A9 within Tract F that are north of the proposed development limits. Most of this area is recently reseeded and is assigned an imperviousness of 20%. A9.3 receives run-on flows from basins OS-A3-A5 and OS-A3.1. Combined flows are conveyed by existing swale A9 to existing area inlet A9, which routes through existing Dawson Trails Boulevard storm sewer system. The composite imperviousness of sub-basins A9.1, A9.2 & A9.3 does not exceed the 25% imperviousness assumption that is accommodated by Pond A.

Sub-Basin A4.1 contains a narrow fill slope between the proposed fire lane and Dawson Trails Boulevard. This area cannot be practicably routed to Pond A6 and sheet flows across the east property boundary to Basin A4, ultimately captured in Inlet A4 and routed to Pond A. Sub-basin A4.1 has an imperviousness of 20%, which is within the accommodation of Pond A.

On-site Basins routed to Pond A6

Sub-basin 1.01 contains a portion of the cut slope west of the project area. This area cannot be practicably bypassed and is captured in an area inlet for routing to Pond A6.

Sub-basins 1.02, 1.05, 1.11 and 1.12 contain the roof area of the proposed field house. These areas drain through underground roof drain piping to the on-site storm sewer system.

Sub-basin 1.03 contains a small landscape area west of the building. Flows from this basin are captured by an area inlet at Design Point 1.03 and routed to Pond A6.

Sub-basins 1.04, 1.07 and 1.13 contain portions of the fire lane and building perimeter. These areas drain to type R inlets at Design Points 1.04, 1.07 and 1.13, respectively, on the outer edge of the fire lane.

Sub-basins 1.06 and 1.08 contain the southwest parking lot and drain via surface flow to type R inlets at Design Points 1.06 and 1.08 respectively. Intercepted flows are routed via storm sewer to Pond A6.

Sub-basins 2.01 and 2.02 contain the northwest parking lot and drain via surface flow to type R Inlets at Design Points 2.01 and 2.02, respectively. Intercepted flows route via storm sewer to Pond A6.

Sub-basin 2.03 contains a portion of the cut slope north of the project area. This area cannot be practicably bypassed and is captured in an area inlet for routing to Pond A6.

Sub-basins 2.04 and 2.05 contain areas of the west access loop, the fire lane, and adjacent landscape areas. These areas surface flow by curb and gutter to on-grade type R inlets 2.04 and 2.05. Bypass flows from Inlet 2.04 route to Inlet 2.05, where full capture of major storm flows is attained for routing to Pond A6.

Sub-basins 2.06 and 2.07 contain the turf field, which is served by an underdrain system. An imperviousness of 80% is assigned to these basins. The fields are designed with a level grade and have a high rate of infiltration. All runoff, including the major storm rainfall, is assumed to be captured by the underdrain system with no excess runoff to adjacent basins.

Sub-basin 3.0 contains proposed detention pond A6 and directly tributary areas.

III. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

A. Regulations

The proposed stormwater facilities are designed to be compliant with Town of Castle Rock drainage criteria. This includes design rainfall, allowable inlet ponding, pipe velocities, pond storage, freeboard and maintenance provisions.

The Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM) is referenced for principles of Water Quality Capture Volume and 100-year detention where these functions separated out from a typical full-spectrum design.

Runoff analysis in this report references the 2024 (current) revisions of USDCM Chapter 6. In particular, surface imperviousness values reflect the current version of MHFD Criteria Manual Table 6-3.

Design criteria for pond features, such as forebay, low flow channel and outlet structure, reference the 2024 (current) revision of USDCM Volume 3.

B. Drainage Studies, Master Plans, Site Constraints

The entire project area and offsite tributary areas are analyzed within the scope of the Dawson Trails Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report. The infrastructure installed per that design includes conveyance of project site and tributary off-site flow to existing Pond A, and accommodation of detention and water quality treatment for up to 25% imperviousness of the project area.

Generally, all runoff originating from the site or passing through the site is intercepted at Design Points A3, A6 and A9 of the Filing 1 drainage design. These design points are connected to a storm sewer trunk line in Dawson Trails Boulevard by 24" pipes at A3 and A9, and a 42" pipe at A6. These three connections are the outfall points at which all proposed outflows are conveyed to Pond A.

Apart from the Dawson Trails Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report, there are no drainageway master plans, infrastructure master plans, or adjacent site plans that influence the design of drainage infrastructure within the Gold Crown Field House development.

Apart from the pipe size constraints at design points A3, A6 and A9, and the imperviousness accommodation of Pond A, there are no physical, topographic or hydraulic constraints that substantially impact the drainage design for this project.

C. Hydrology

Rational method is used for on-site and off-site runoff calculations. On-site sub-basins range from 0.1 to 3.3 acres in size. Offsite sub-basins and fringe areas range from 0.1 to 32 acres in size.

The 5-year mean recurrence interval is used for the minor drainage system design. The 100-year mean recurrence interval is used for the major drainage system design.

Design 1-hour rainfall amounts are 1.43 in. for the 5-year event and 2.60 in. for the 100-year event. These values are taken from Table 6-1 of the Town's drainage criteria.

Water Quality Capture Volume is determined from the MHFD-Detention_v4-07 spreadsheet, using the methods defined in MHFD Criteria Manual Volume 3. The MHFD-Detention_v4-05 spreadsheet is also used for pond storage and outflow calculations. MHFD-Detention_v4-07 is used to evaluate pond performance during significant storm events and sizing of flow-bypassing components.

All hydrology is assuming full build out conditions of the project including all future phases.

D. Hydraulics

Curb/gutter conveyance capacities and curb inlet flow interception are calculated using MHFD-Inlet_v5.03 spreadsheet. A manning's n-value of 0.016 is assumed for all areas of asphalt parking lot paving.

Swale flows and surface drainage depths across parking lots are computed with Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk Civil 3D, Version 2022.

Analysis of hydraulic grade lines in storm sewers will be included in the Phase III Drainage Report.

The MHFD-Detention_v4-06 spreadsheet is used for pond routing and outflow calculations.

E. Storage and Water Quality Enhancement

Existing Pond A is a sub-regional extended detention basin that provides 100-year detention and water quality capture volume for all off-site tributary areas, and for on-site area (Tract F) up to 25% composite imperviousness, per the Dawson Trails Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report. Flows from off-site areas and from undeveloped and fringe on-site areas are routed to Pond A in conformance with that pond's detention accommodation.

The developed areas on the site, generally within the perimeter access drive, exceed the 25% composite imperviousness accommodation and are routed to proposed on-site Pond A6. Pond A6 is a full-spectrum facility that provides water quality and detention for all on-site tributary area in conformance with Town of Castle Rock drainage regulations.

The four-step process of water quality control is detailed in section IV.C of this report.

IV. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY DESIGN

A. Stormwater Conveyance Facilities

Drainage through the site is conveyed through two distinct systems. Drainage from off-site areas and undeveloped portions of the site is captured where existing surface flow patterns are interrupted by proposed development. The captured flows are routed through a bypass storm sewer system to their original point of interception in the existing Dawson Trail Boulevard system, and subsequently to Pond A. Drainage from developed areas of the property is generally conveyed by surface flow, curb & gutter to street inlets, areas inlets, and roof drains. All captured flows from the on-site collection system are routed to full spectrum pond A6.

Generally, inlets are placed at low points in the site grading, with 6 to 12 inches of ponding and a defined emergency overflow path to an adjacent basin. On-grade inlets 2.04 and 2.05 are designed to fully capture of major storm flows for routing to Pond A6.

Top-of wall concrete swales for conveyance of offsite flows are designed per Town detail SD-07. Grass-lined swales A3.2 and A9 are designed with 5-foot bottom widths, 5:1 side-slopes at velocities less than 5 feet per second.

Street capacities are evaluated per Town of Castle Rock drainage classification A. 6" flow depth (top of vertical curb) is used for all flow analyses, except where major storm depth up to 12" can be ensured by the grading design along the entire flow path.

Pond A6 is designed with maintenance provisions in accordance with Section 13 of the Town's drainage criteria. Drainage easements will be dedicated around the detention pond, along the bypass storm sewer lines, and along the top-of-wall swales, which convey off-site runoff.

Conveyance of all flows leaving the site is accommodated by existing storm infrastructure of Dawson Trails Filing 1. All flows are conveyed to existing pond A.

B. Stormwater Storage Facilities

Full-spectrum detention is provided in proposed Pond A6 for developed areas of the site. The pond is in the east corner of the site and outfalls to an existing storm sewer system in Dawson Trails Boulevard that routes to existing sub-regional Pond A.

Per the Dawson Trails Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report, existing Pond A was designed to accommodate up to 25% imperviousness from all of Tract F, which includes the development site. Undeveloped areas along the north and west edges of the property and fringe areas that drain away from the property route directly to the existing storm sewer system in Dawson Trails Boulevard. These areas have a combined composite imperviousness of less than 25% and are therefore accommodated by Pond A.

An overflow spillway is designed across the northeast pond berm with capacity to convey 100-year fully developed, unattenuated flows. The spillway design has at least 1 foot of freeboard from the highest water depth over the spillway crest to the top of berm. The downstream face of the spillway is protected by a cutoff wall and armored with riprap, in accordance with Figure 13-7 of the Town's Drainage Criteria. Overflows would flow to the low point in the north access drive and would overtop into existing swale A-9, continuing to existing area inlet A9. Any overflow that exceed the capacity of Inlet A9 would route further northeast in the swale system paralleling Dawson Trails Boulevard to existing inlets A12 or A15. All inlets route to existing Pond A located within Dawson Trails Filing No. 1.

The pond design is verified to release 97% of runoff from a 5-year or lesser storm within 72 hours, and to release 99% of runoff from a storm greater than a 5-year event within 120 hours.

Maintenance accommodations are designed for the water quality pond constructed with this project. These accommodations include vehicle access paths to the pond's forebay and outlet structure, compliant with Town criteria.

C. Water Quality Enhancement Control Measures

Implementation of the four-step process of water quality control include the following features:

- 1) Runoff reduction: A significant portion of the site's perimeter is left in its existing state. Separately, segments of paved area of the north and south access points are routed through swales before connecting to the storm sewer system.
- 2) Full spectrum detention: On-site Full-spectrum detention is provided for areas of the site that are developed. Separately, fringe and perimeter areas are routed to existing full-spectrum detention Pond A.

- 3) Stream stabilization: There are no streams on the property. However, all open swales on and downstream of the development site are designed to have sustainable velocity and to protect against erosion.
- 4) Source Controls: Areas of the site where pollutants could be introduced are routed to the on-site full-spectrum pond for water quality treatment. All landscape areas and turf areas will adhere to the Town's landscaping design and maintenance to minimize potential occurrence of pollutants.

Hydraulic properties, emergency considerations, and outfall details of the proposed full-spectrum pond are discussed in Section IV.B of this report.

Maintenance considerations of full-spectrum Pond A6 are detailed in Section IV.F of this report.

D. Floodplain Modification

No floodplain modifications are proposed as part of this project. There are no major drainageways traverse the site. A very small finger of the floodplain from Gambel Ridge North Tributary (0.004 acres) intersects the southeast corner of the property. The floodplain encroachment results from a backwater condition and is not an area of effective flow conveyance. The floodplain encroachment is also well outside the limits of proposed disturbance.

E. Geotechnical Investigation and Sub-surface Collection System Design

The site has no observed history of shallow groundwater or other sub-surface drainage issues. The building is designed as a slab-on-grade, and will have minimal susceptibility to disturbance by groundwater. A geotechnical study will be conducted as part of the design development process and its findings will be integrated into the drainage design as appropriate.

F. Operation and Maintenance

The proposed stormwater conveyance and treatment facilities, including Pond A6 are private improvements that will be owned and maintained by Gold Crown Field House.

Vehicle access to Pond A6's forebay and outlet structure are compliant with maintenance requirements in Section 13.6 of the Town's storm drainage criteria.

Recommended maintenance practices for extended detention basins in the Denver region are detailed in MHFD Criteria Manual Volume 3, Chapter 6, Section 7.

Regular maintenance of the stormwater facilities will include:

- Inspection and debris removal – twice annually
- Aeration – annually

- Sediment removal from forebay and trickle channel – annually

Occasional or as-needed maintenance activities will include:

- Sediment removal from basin bottom—when sediment volume reaches 20% WQCV (~15 to 25 years)
- Repair of eroded areas—as determined by inspection.

G. Additional Permitting Requirements

There are no attributes of this project, associated disturbance areas, or impacted drainages that are expected to trigger additional permitting requirements.

H. General

Runoff, conveyance and pond calculations that support this design are included in the appendices. Drainage maps showing routing of flows to meet the constraints of the existing system are also included in the appendices.

Further details of calculations, charts, and storm sewer modeling will be added as the design progressed through Site Development Plan review and refinement.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A. Compliance with Standards

The drainage infrastructure for the Gold Crown Field House project has been designed to adhere to Town of Castle Rock criteria and standards.

Developed areas of the site are designed with on-site full-spectrum detention. Undeveloped and fringe areas of the site that have composite imperviousness within the limits assumed by the Dawson Trails Filing 1 drainage design are routed to existing Pond A in conformance with the approved design.

The project area and associated drainage areas lie within the Chatfield Reservoir Watershed. To date, the project has not been subjected to specific requirements regarding Regulation No. 73.

B. Variances

No variances are proposed with this development.

C. Drainage Concept

Generally, the proposed drainage design of the Gold Crown Field House is guided by the accommodations and constraints of the Dawson Trails Filing 1 drainage design. Off-site tributary flows are bypassed through the site wherever possible to connect to the provisions of the Dawson Trail Boulevard Storm System. Areas of the site that are developed beyond the 25% imperviousness accommodation of Pond A are collected by an on-site storm sewer system and routed to proposed on-site

Pond A6. The proposed pond is a full-spectrum facility that conforms to Town's pond design standards, and releases in the existing storm system at an attenuated rate that does not exceed the design flows defined by the Dawson Trails Filing 1 Phase III Drainage Report.

VI. REFERENCES

- A. Town of Castle Rock Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual, February 2025.
- B. Mile High Flood District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, updated March 2024.
- C. Web Soil Survey, Soil Survey Staff (Natural Resources Conservation Service), United States Department of Agriculture. Available online at the following link:
<https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/>. Accessed April 30, 2026.
- D. Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) No. 08035C0282G, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Revised March 16, 2016. Available online at the following link:
<https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home>. Accessed April 30, 2025.
- E. Phase III Drainage Report for Dawson Trails Filing 1 by CORE Consultants, approved April 21, 2025

Computer Modeling Programs:


- A. StormCAD by Bentley Systems, Inc., Version 10.03.04.53.
- B. Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk Civil 3D by Autodesk, Version 2026.
- C. Detention Basin Design Workbook (MHFD-Detention v4.03.xlsm) by Mile High Flood District, Version 4.07, June 2025.

VII. APPENDICES

Appendix A	Vicinity Map, FEMA Firm Panel, Soil Report, Precipitation
Appendix B	Hydrologic Computations
Appendix C	Hydraulic Computations
Appendix D	Water Quality Enhancement Control Measures
Back Pocket	Drainage Maps

APPENDIX A

VICINITY MAP, FEMA FIRM PANEL, SOILS REPORT, PRECIPITATION




5/7/2026 10:41 AM X:\05-092 GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE\04\REPORTS\UTILITY\FIGURES_VICINITY MAP - 05/01.DWG

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



104°53'6"W 39°20'58"N



1:6,000

104°52'29"W 39°20'30"N

Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS		Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A, V, A99
		With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR
		Regulatory Floodway
OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD		0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X
		Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X
		Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X
		Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D
OTHER AREAS		NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X
		Effective LOMRs
GENERAL STRUCTURES		Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D
		Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
OTHER FEATURES		Levee, Dike, or Floodwall
		Coastal Transect Baseline
MAP PANELS		Digital Data Available
		No Digital Data Available
MAP PANELS		Unmapped
		The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 4/30/2026 at 5:13 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.




United States
Department of
Agriculture

NRCS

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

A product of the National
Cooperative Soil Survey,
a joint effort of the United
States Department of
Agriculture and other
Federal agencies, State
agencies including the
Agricultural Experiment
Stations, and local
participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for **Castle Rock Area, Colorado**



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map.....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend.....	11
Map Unit Descriptions.....	11
Castle Rock Area, Colorado.....	13
Bo—Blakeland-Orsa association, 1 to 4 percent slopes.....	13
BtE—Bresser-Truckton sandy loams, 5 to 25 percent slopes.....	14
Fu—Fondis-Kutch association.....	16
KtE—Kutch sandy loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes.....	18
Lo—Loamy alluvial land.....	20
NeE—Newlin gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 30 percent slopes.....	21
Soil Information for All Uses	23
Soil Properties and Qualities.....	23
Soil Qualities and Features.....	23
Hydrologic Soil Group.....	23
References	28

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and


Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines

 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

 Blowout

 Borrow Pit

 Clay Spot

 Closed Depression

 Gravel Pit

 Gravelly Spot

 Landfill

 Lava Flow

 Marsh or swamp

 Mine or Quarry

 Miscellaneous Water

 Perennial Water

 Rock Outcrop

 Saline Spot

 Sandy Spot

 Severely Eroded Spot

 Sinkhole

 Slide or Slip

 Sodic Spot

 Spoil Area

 Stony Spot

 Very Stony Spot

 Wet Spot

 Other

 Special Line Features

Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Castle Rock Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 18, Aug 29, 2025

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 1, 2023—Sep 1, 2023

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Bo	Blakeland-Orsa association, 1 to 4 percent slopes	4.4	2.7%
BtE	Bresser-Truckton sandy loams, 5 to 25 percent slopes	0.3	0.2%
Fu	Fondis-Kutch association	62.1	38.7%
KtE	Kutch sandy loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes	0.8	0.5%
Lo	Loamy alluvial land	1.1	0.7%
NeE	Newlin gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 30 percent slopes	91.7	57.2%
Totals for Area of Interest		160.3	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it

was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Castle Rock Area, Colorado

Bo—Blakeland-Orsa association, 1 to 4 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqy5
Elevation: 5,500 to 6,600 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 47 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 120 to 135 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blakeland and similar soils: 50 percent
Orsa and similar soils: 35 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Blakeland

Setting

Landform: Hills, Alluvial fans
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Alluvium and/or eolian deposits

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 13 inches: sandy loam
H2 - 13 to 24 inches: loamy coarse sand
H3 - 24 to 60 inches: loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 4 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4s
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e
Hydrologic Soil Group: A
Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill
Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Orsa

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Custom Soil Resource Report

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium derived from arkosic sedimentary rock

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 20 inches: coarse sandy loam

H2 - 20 to 60 inches: gravelly loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained

Runoff class: Very low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4s

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Kassler

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Sandy alluvial land

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

BtE—Bresser-Truckton sandy loams, 5 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqy9

Landscape: Gently sloping-to moderately steep uplands

Elevation: 5,500 to 6,600 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 47 to 52 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 135 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Bresser and similar soils: 50 percent

Truckton and similar soils: 35 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Bresser

Setting

Landscape: Gently sloping-to moderately steep uplands

Landform: Gently sloping-to moderately steep terraces

Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser, tread

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Sandy eolian deposits

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam

H2 - 8 to 30 inches: sandy clay loam

H3 - 30 to 60 inches: loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 15 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 2.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Truckton

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Terraces

Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser, tread

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium derived from arkosic sedimentary rock

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 4 inches: sandy loam

H2 - 4 to 19 inches: sandy loam

H3 - 19 to 60 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 10 to 25 percent

Custom Soil Resource Report

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Newlin

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Blakeland

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Stapleton

Percent of map unit: 4 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Aquic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Landform: Swales

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Fu—Fondis-Kutch association

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqyq

Landscape: Uplands

Elevation: 5,500 to 6,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 47 to 50 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 135 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Fondis and similar soils: 50 percent

Kutch and similar soils: 35 percent

Custom Soil Resource Report

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Fondis

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Landform: Valley sides, Draws

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Eolian deposits over coarse-silty outwash derived from arkose

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 7 inches: loam

H2 - 7 to 24 inches: clay

H3 - 24 to 60 inches: sandy clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 15 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: High

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: C

Ecological site: R049XB208CO - Clayey Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Kutch

Setting

Landscape: Uplands

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Fine-textured residuum weathered from calcareous shale

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: sandy loam

H2 - 6 to 32 inches: clay

H3 - 32 to 36 inches: weathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 40 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Very high

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)

Custom Soil Resource Report

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Gypsum, maximum content: 2 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to slightly saline (0.0 to 4.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e
Hydrologic Soil Group: D
Ecological site: R049XB208CO - Clayey Foothill
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Newlin

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Hilly gravelly land

Percent of map unit: 4 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Aquic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Landform: Swales
Hydric soil rating: Yes

KtE—Kutch sandy loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqz5
Elevation: 5,500 to 6,800 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 47 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 120 to 135 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Kutch and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Kutch

Setting

Landform: Valley sides, Alluvial fans, Below stony steep land along major drainageways

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Calcareous fine-loamy clayey shale

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: sandy loam

H2 - 6 to 32 inches: clay

H3 - 32 to 36 inches: weathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 20 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: High

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent

Gypsum, maximum content: 2 percent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to slightly saline (0.0 to 4.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: D

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 7 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Newlin

Percent of map unit: 7 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Aquic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Landform: Swales

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Lo—Loamy alluvial land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqzb
Elevation: 7,000 to 8,000 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 17 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 44 to 46 degrees F
Frost-free period: 115 to 120 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Loamy alluvial land: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Loamy Alluvial Land

Setting

Landform: Flood plains, Swales
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 20 inches: sandy loam
H2 - 20 to 40 inches: stratified loamy sand to clay loam
H3 - 40 to 60 inches: sand and gravel

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 5 percent
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 48 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to slightly saline (0.0 to 4.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R049XY036CO - Overflow
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Sampson

Percent of map unit: 7 percent

Custom Soil Resource Report

Hydric soil rating: No

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 7 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Sandy alluvial land

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Fluvaquentic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Landform: Terraces

Hydric soil rating: Yes

NeE—Newlin gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 30 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqzg

Elevation: 5,500 to 6,600 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 49 to 51 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 135 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Newlin and similar soils: 85 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Newlin

Setting

Landform: Rolling to moderately steep terraces, Mesas, Plateaus

Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Unconformable sandy and gravelly and/or mixed source alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: gravelly sandy loam

H2 - 8 to 17 inches: gravelly sandy clay loam

H3 - 17 to 22 inches: gravelly sandy loam

H4 - 22 to 60 inches: very gravelly sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 30 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.20 to 2.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Custom Soil Resource Report

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R049XY214CO - Gravelly Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Stapleton

Percent of map unit: 4 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Satanta

Percent of map unit: 4 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Aquic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Landform: Swales

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Soil Information for All Uses

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Custom Soil Resource Report


Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Map—Hydrologic Soil Group



Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Rating Polygons

 A
 A/D
 B
 B/D
 C
 C/D
 D
 Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Lines

 A
 A/D
 B
 B/D
 C
 C/D
 D
 Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Points

 A
 A/D
 B
 B/D

 C
 C/D
 D
 Not rated or not available

Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

 Rails
 Interstate Highways
 US Routes
 Major Roads
 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Castle Rock Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 18, Aug 29, 2025

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 1, 2023—Sep 1, 2023

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Bo	Blakeland-Orsa association, 1 to 4 percent slopes	A	4.4	2.7%
BtE	Bresser-Truckton sandy loams, 5 to 25 percent slopes	B	0.3	0.2%
Fu	Fondis-Kutch association	C	62.1	38.7%
KtE	Kutch sandy loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes	D	0.8	0.5%
Lo	Loamy alluvial land	C	1.1	0.7%
NeE	Newlin gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 30 percent slopes	B	91.7	57.2%
Totals for Area of Interest			160.3	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group*Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition**Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified**Tie-break Rule: Higher*

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2
Location name: Castle Rock, Colorado, USA*
Latitude: 39.3466°, Longitude: -104.8797°
Elevation: 6380 ft**

* source: ESRI Maps
 ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffrey Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

[PF tabular](#) | [PF graphical](#) | [Maps & aerals](#)

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches)¹										
Duration	Average recurrence interval (years)									
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.229 (0.190-0.280)	0.292 (0.242-0.357)	0.397 (0.327-0.486)	0.485 (0.398-0.597)	0.610 (0.481-0.777)	0.709 (0.545-0.913)	0.809 (0.599-1.07)	0.913 (0.645-1.23)	1.05 (0.713-1.46)	1.16 (0.765-1.63)
10-min	0.336 (0.279-0.410)	0.428 (0.354-0.523)	0.581 (0.479-0.711)	0.710 (0.582-0.874)	0.893 (0.705-1.14)	1.04 (0.798-1.34)	1.18 (0.877-1.56)	1.34 (0.945-1.81)	1.54 (1.04-2.14)	1.70 (1.12-2.39)
15-min	0.410 (0.340-0.500)	0.522 (0.432-0.637)	0.708 (0.584-0.867)	0.866 (0.710-1.07)	1.09 (0.860-1.39)	1.26 (0.973-1.63)	1.44 (1.07-1.90)	1.63 (1.15-2.20)	1.88 (1.27-2.61)	2.08 (1.36-2.92)
30-min	0.541 (0.448-0.660)	0.689 (0.571-0.842)	0.937 (0.773-1.15)	1.15 (0.940-1.41)	1.44 (1.14-1.83)	1.67 (1.28-2.15)	1.91 (1.41-2.51)	2.15 (1.52-2.90)	2.48 (1.68-3.43)	2.73 (1.80-3.84)
60-min	0.685 (0.568-0.837)	0.852 (0.706-1.04)	1.14 (0.939-1.39)	1.39 (1.14-1.70)	1.75 (1.38-2.23)	2.04 (1.57-2.63)	2.34 (1.73-3.09)	2.66 (1.88-3.60)	3.10 (2.10-4.31)	3.45 (2.27-4.84)
2-hr	0.830 (0.692-1.01)	1.02 (0.846-1.23)	1.34 (1.11-1.63)	1.63 (1.34-1.99)	2.05 (1.64-2.62)	2.40 (1.87-3.09)	2.77 (2.07-3.65)	3.16 (2.26-4.27)	3.72 (2.54-5.14)	4.16 (2.76-5.80)
3-hr	0.935 (0.783-1.13)	1.12 (0.938-1.36)	1.46 (1.21-1.76)	1.76 (1.46-2.14)	2.22 (1.79-2.83)	2.61 (2.04-3.36)	3.03 (2.28-3.98)	3.48 (2.50-4.69)	4.12 (2.84-5.69)	4.64 (3.09-6.45)
6-hr	1.14 (0.962-1.37)	1.35 (1.13-1.62)	1.72 (1.45-2.07)	2.08 (1.73-2.50)	2.61 (2.12-3.31)	3.07 (2.42-3.92)	3.56 (2.70-4.66)	4.10 (2.97-5.50)	4.88 (3.38-6.70)	5.51 (3.70-7.60)
12-hr	1.39 (1.18-1.65)	1.65 (1.39-1.96)	2.11 (1.78-2.52)	2.53 (2.12-3.03)	3.15 (2.57-3.96)	3.68 (2.91-4.66)	4.24 (3.23-5.49)	4.85 (3.53-6.43)	5.71 (3.98-7.76)	6.40 (4.32-8.77)
24-hr	1.67 (1.42-1.97)	1.99 (1.69-2.35)	2.54 (2.15-3.01)	3.03 (2.55-3.60)	3.74 (3.05-4.62)	4.32 (3.43-5.40)	4.93 (3.77-6.30)	5.57 (4.08-7.31)	6.47 (4.54-8.71)	7.19 (4.89-9.77)
2-day	1.96 (1.68-2.30)	2.33 (2.00-2.74)	2.95 (2.52-3.47)	3.49 (2.96-4.12)	4.26 (3.49-5.21)	4.88 (3.90-6.04)	5.51 (4.24-6.98)	6.18 (4.55-8.03)	7.09 (5.01-9.46)	7.81 (5.36-10.5)
3-day	2.14 (1.84-2.49)	2.53 (2.18-2.96)	3.20 (2.74-3.74)	3.76 (3.20-4.42)	4.57 (3.76-5.56)	5.22 (4.18-6.42)	5.88 (4.54-7.40)	6.56 (4.85-8.48)	7.50 (5.32-9.95)	8.23 (5.67-11.1)
4-day	2.27 (1.96-2.64)	2.68 (2.31-3.12)	3.38 (2.90-3.94)	3.97 (3.39-4.65)	4.80 (3.96-5.82)	5.47 (4.40-6.71)	6.15 (4.77-7.72)	6.86 (5.08-8.84)	7.83 (5.56-10.3)	8.58 (5.92-11.5)
7-day	2.63 (2.28-3.04)	3.06 (2.65-3.54)	3.80 (3.28-4.40)	4.42 (3.79-5.15)	5.32 (4.41-6.41)	6.03 (4.88-7.36)	6.76 (5.27-8.44)	7.53 (5.62-9.64)	8.58 (6.14-11.3)	9.39 (6.53-12.5)
10-day	2.96 (2.57-3.40)	3.41 (2.96-3.93)	4.18 (3.62-4.83)	4.84 (4.16-5.61)	5.78 (4.81-6.93)	6.52 (5.30-7.92)	7.30 (5.71-9.07)	8.10 (6.06-10.3)	9.20 (6.61-12.0)	10.1 (7.02-13.3)
20-day	3.90 (3.41-4.46)	4.45 (3.89-5.09)	5.37 (4.67-6.15)	6.14 (5.31-7.06)	7.21 (6.03-8.55)	8.05 (6.57-9.68)	8.90 (7.01-11.0)	9.78 (7.36-12.3)	11.0 (7.92-14.2)	11.9 (8.34-15.6)
30-day	4.67 (4.10-5.31)	5.33 (4.67-6.06)	6.39 (5.58-7.29)	7.26 (6.31-8.32)	8.46 (7.09-9.96)	9.38 (7.68-11.2)	10.3 (8.13-12.6)	11.2 (8.48-14.1)	12.4 (9.02-16.0)	13.3 (9.43-17.5)
45-day	5.63 (4.96-6.37)	6.42 (5.66-7.28)	7.69 (6.75-8.73)	8.72 (7.60-9.94)	10.1 (8.46-11.8)	11.1 (9.12-13.2)	12.1 (9.59-14.7)	13.1 (9.92-16.3)	14.3 (10.4-18.4)	15.3 (10.8-19.9)
60-day	6.43 (5.68-7.25)	7.35 (6.49-8.30)	8.80 (7.74-9.96)	9.96 (8.71-11.3)	11.5 (9.65-13.3)	12.6 (10.4-14.9)	13.7 (10.9-16.5)	14.7 (11.2-18.2)	16.0 (11.7-20.4)	16.9 (12.1-22.0)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.



Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

[Back to Top](#)

PF graphical

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves


Latitude: 39.3466°, Longitude: -104.8797°



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2

Created (GMT): Thu Jun 11 11:56:56 2026

[Back to Top](#)**Maps & aerials****Small scale terrain**

**Large scale terrain****Large scale map****Large scale aerial**



[Back to Top](#)

[US Department of Commerce](#)
[National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration](#)
[National Weather Service](#)
[National Water Center](#)
1325 East West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Questions?: HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov

[Disclaimer](#)

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

GCFH Existing Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-09-001

Prepared By: ICW

IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS**-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 RUNOFF Table 6-3**

		Residential & Commercial												Soil Type		
		Residential			Commercial											
		Park Area	0.25 - 0.75 acres	2.5 acres or larger	Medium Density	Roof/ Concrete	Asphalt/ Ponded Water	Packed Gravel	Landscape Area		Historic			Soil Type A Area	Soil Type B Area	Soil Type C/D Area
									2-7% Slope	>7% Slope						
% Imperv.	Design Point	25.00%	30.00%	12.00%	80.00%	90.00%	100.00%	40.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	Total Area (ac)	Percent Impervious			
BASIN		Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)			Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)
A-3		A3	8.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.46	25.0%	-	-	8.46
A-6		A6	12.09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.09	25.0%	-	-	12.09
A-9		A9	7.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.59	25.0%	-	-	7.59
OS-A3		OSA3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.03	10.03	2.0%	-	6.02	4.01
OS-A4		OSA4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.13	32.13	2.0%	-	19.28	12.85
OS-A5		OSA5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.88	15.88	2.0%	-	15.88	-
OS-A6		OSA6	-	-	9.95	-	-	-	-	-	13.45	23.41	6.3%	-	23.41	-
OS-A7		OSA7	-	-	4.07	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	5.08	10.0%	-	2.54	2.54
OS-A8	OSA8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.04	2.04	2.0%	-	1.02	1.02	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total DP A3-A9		28.15	0.00	14.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.54	116.71	8.7%	0.00	68.14	48.57

Basin ID	% Imperv.	i	Soil Type	Runoff Coefficients, C				Basin Area	Total Area	Weighted Runoff Coefficients, C			
				2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	100-Year			2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	100-Year
A-3	25.0%	0.25	A	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.31	8.46	8.46	0.18	0.24	0.32	0.59
			B	0.17	0.19	0.26	0.54						
			C or D	0.18	0.24	0.32	0.59						
A-6	25.0%	0.25	A	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.31	12.09	12.09	0.18	0.24	0.32	0.59
			B	0.17	0.19	0.26	0.54						
			C or D	0.18	0.24	0.32	0.59						
A-9	25.0%	0.25	A	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.31	7.59	7.59	0.18	0.24	0.32	0.59
			B	0.17	0.19	0.26	0.54						
			C or D	0.18	0.24	0.32	0.59						
OS-A3	2.0%	0.02	A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	6.02	10.03	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.46
			B	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.44						
			C or D	0.01	0.05	0.15	0.49						
OS-A4	2.0%	0.02	A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	19.28	32.13	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.46
			B	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.44						
			C or D	0.01	0.05	0.15	0.49						
OS-A5	2.0%	0.02	A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	15.88	15.88	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.44
			B	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.44						
			C or D	0.01	0.05	0.15	0.49						
OS-A6	6.3%	0.06	A	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.16	23.41	23.41	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.46
			B	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.46						
			C or D	0.04	0.09	0.18	0.51						
OS-A7	10.0%	0.10	A	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.19	2.54	5.08	0.06	0.09	0.17	0.50
			B	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.47						
			C or D	0.06	0.12	0.21	0.53						
OS-A8	2.0%	0.02	A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13	1.02	2.04	0.01	0.03	0.11	0.46
			B	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.44						
			C or D	0.01	0.05	0.15	0.49						
Total DP A3-A9	8.7%	0.09	A B C or D	0.04 0.05 0.05	0.04 0.06 0.11	0.04 0.13 0.20	0.18 0.47 0.52	68.14 48.57	116.71	0.05	0.08	0.16	0.49

GCFH Existing Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-09-001

Prepared By: TCW

TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATIONS | BASIN A

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 Section 2.4

NRCS Conveyance factors, K -REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 RUNOFF Table 6-2

SF-2			Heavy Meadow Tillage/field			3 5	Short Grass Pasture & Lawns Nearly Bare Ground			7 10	Grassed Waterway Paved Area & Shallow Gutter			15 20
SUB-BASIN DATA			INITIAL / OVERLAND TIME			CHANNEL / TRAVEL TIME T(t)						T(c) CHECK (URBANIZED BASINS)		FINAL T(c)
DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	C(5)	Length ft.	Slope %	T(i) min	Length ft.	Slope %	Coeff.	Velocity fps	T(t) min.	COMP. T(c)	% IMPER- VIOUS	USDCM Eq . 6-5	min.
A-3	8.46	0.24	300	8.9	13.1	330	2.6	7	1.1	4.8	17.9	25.0%	24.5	17.9
A-6	12.09	0.24	286	1.2	24.9	1141	2.8	7	1.2	16.4	41.3	25.0%	30.9	30.9
A-9	7.59	0.24	300	4.2	16.7	638	2.4	7	1.1	9.8	26.6	25.0%	27.3	26.6
OS-A3	10.03	0.03	500	4.6	26.2	1110	5.6	7	1.7	11.2	37.3	2.0%	34.1	34.1
OS-A4	32.13	0.03	350	11.4	16.2	2000	5.0	7	1.6	21.3	37.5	2.0%	41.7	37.5
OS-A5	15.88	0.01	500	17.0	17.2	1850	5.1	7	1.6	19.4	36.7	2.0%	40.3	36.7
OS-A6	23.41	0.04	200	12.5	11.7	1850	7.0	7	1.9	16.6	28.4	6.3%	36.7	28.4
OS-A7	5.08	0.09	200	6.0	14.2	580	7.4	7	1.9	5.1	19.3	10.0%	27.7	19.3
OS-A8	2.04	0.03	126	10.0	10.1	130	11.5	7	2.4	0.9	11.0	2.0%	26.3	11.0

GCFH Existing Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-09-001

Prepared By: TCW

RATIONAL METHOD PEAK RUNOFF

5-Year STORM Rainfall Depth-Duration-Frequency (1-hr) = **1.43**

SF-3

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 EQ 5-1 & EQ 6-1

BASIN INFORMATON				DIRECT RUNOFF			
DESIGN POINT	DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	5yr Runoff COEFF	T(c) min	C x A	I in/hr	Q cfs
A3	A-3	8.46	0.24	17.9	2.03	2.98	6.04
A6	A-6	12.09	0.24	30.9	2.90	2.20	6.40
A9	A-9	7.59	0.24	26.6	1.82	2.41	4.38
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	0.03	34.1	0.28	2.08	0.58
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	0.03	37.5	0.90	1.96	1.76
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	0.01	36.7	0.19	1.99	0.38
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	0.04	28.4	0.99	2.32	2.29
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	0.09	19.3	0.48	2.87	1.36
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	0.03	11.0	0.06	3.72	0.24

GCFH Existing Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-09-001

Prepared By: ICW

RATIONAL METHOD PEAK RUNOFF

100-YR STORM

SF-3 Rainfall Depth-Duration-Frequency (1-hr) = **2.60**

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 EQ 5-1 & EQ 6-1

BASIN INFORMATON				DIRECT RUNOFF			
DESIGN POINT	DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	100YR RUNNOFF COEFF	T(c) min	C x A	I in/hr	Q cfs
A3	A-3	8.46	0.59	17.9	4.96	5.41	26.86
A6	A-6	12.09	0.59	30.9	7.09	4.01	28.42
A9	A-9	7.59	0.59	26.6	4.45	4.38	19.48
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	0.46	34.1	4.59	3.78	17.36
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	0.46	37.5	14.72	3.56	52.45
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	0.44	36.7	6.91	3.61	24.98
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	0.46	28.4	10.66	4.22	44.94
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	0.50	19.3	2.54	5.21	13.22
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	0.46	11.0	0.95	6.76	6.40

GCFH Proposed Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-092

Prepared By: LCC

IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 RUNOFF Table 6-3

		0.00												Soil Type		
		Land Use (Off-site)				Landscape Area								Soil Type A Area	Soil Type B Area	Soil Type C/D Area
		Park Area	SFH Rural 0-3 du/ac	Roofs	Asphalt/Concrete	Packed Gravel	Turf Field with Underdrain	Extended Detention Basin	Receiving Pervious Area	All other (Disturbed)	Historic					
% Imperv.	Design Point	25.00%	35.00%	95.00%	95.00%	60.00%	80.00%	25.00%	20.00%	20.00%	5.00%					
BASIN	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Total Area (ac)	Percent Impervious	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)
OS-A3	OSA3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.03	10.03	5.0%	-	7.99	2.04
OS-A4	OSA4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.13	32.13	5.0%	-	25.81	6.32
OS-A5	OSA5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.88	15.88	5.0%	-	14.59	1.29
OS-A6	OSA6	-	9.95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13.45	23.41	17.8%	-	23.41	-
OS-A7	OSA7	-	4.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.01	5.08	29.0%	-	2.88	2.21
OS-A8	OSA8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.04	2.04	5.0%	-	-	2.04
A1	A1	-	-	-	0.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.60	95.0%	0.60	-	-
A3.1	A3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.38	-	2.38	20.0%	-	-	2.38
A3.2	A3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.12	-	1.12	20.0%	0.51	-	0.61
A3.3	A3.3	-	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.17	95.0%	0.17	-	-
A3.4	A3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.46	-	0.46	20.0%	0.46	-	-
A3	A3	-	-	-	0.17	-	-	-	-	3.96	-	4.13	23.1%	1.14	-	2.99
A4.1	A4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.14	-	0.14	20.0%	0.04	-	0.10
A4	A4	-	-	-	0.64	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.64	95.0%	0.31	-	0.33
A6.1	A6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.62	-	2.62	20.0%	-	1.19	1.43
A6.2	A6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.71	-	0.71	20.0%	-	-	0.71
A6	A6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.33	-	3.33	20.0%	-	1.19	2.14
A7	A7	-	-	-	0.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.67	95.0%	-	-	0.67
A9.1	A9.1	-	-	-	0.31	-	-	-	-	0.44	-	0.75	50.6%	-	-	0.75
A9.2	A9.2	-	-	-	0.31	-	-	-	-	0.28	-	0.59	58.8%	-	-	0.59
A9.3	A9.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.25	-	3.25	20.0%	-	-	3.25
A9	A9	-	-	-	0.61	-	-	-	-	3.98	-	4.59	30.0%	-	-	4.59

		0.00												Soil Type		
		Land Use (Off-site)				0.00						Landscape Area				
		Park Area	SFH Rural 0-3 du/ac	Roofs	Asphalt/Concrete	Packed Gravel	Turf Field with Underdrain	Extended Detention Basin	Receiving Pervious Area	All other (Disturbed)	Historic			Soil Type A Area	Soil Type B Area	Soil Type C/D Area
% Imperv.		25.00%	35.00%	95.00%	95.00%	60.00%	80.00%	25.00%	20.00%	20.00%	5.00%					
BASIN	Design Point	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Total Area (ac)	Percent Impervious	Area (ac)	Area (ac)	Area (ac)
1.01	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.54	-	0.54	20.0%	-	-	0.54
1.02	1.1	-	-	0.35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.35	95.0%	-	-	0.35
1.03	1.2	-	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	-	0.10	-	0.12	30.6%	-	-	0.12
1.04	1.3	-	-	-	0.72	-	-	-	-	0.07	-	0.79	88.7%	-	-	0.79
1.05	1.4	-	-	0.62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.62	95.0%	-	-	0.62
1.06	1.5	-	-	-	1.07	-	-	-	-	0.33	-	1.40	77.4%	-	-	1.40
1.07	1.6	-	-	-	0.49	-	-	-	-	0.07	-	0.56	86.1%	0.14	-	0.42
1.08	1.7	-	-	-	2.94	-	-	-	-	0.22	-	3.16	89.8%	1.91	-	1.25
1.11	1.11	-	-	0.71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.71	95.0%	-	-	0.71
1.12	1.12	-	-	0.35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.35	95.0%	0.02	-	0.33
1.13	1.13	-	-	-	0.59	-	-	-	-	0.17	-	0.76	78.6%	0.17	-	0.59
2.01	2.0	-	-	-	0.70	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.70	95.0%	-	0.05	0.65
2.02	2.1	-	-	-	0.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.48	95.0%	-	-	0.48
2.03	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.32	-	0.32	20.0%	-	-	0.32
2.04	2.3	-	-	-	0.96	-	-	-	-	0.53	-	1.49	68.4%	-	-	1.49
2.05	2.4	-	-	-	0.15	-	-	-	-	0.19	-	0.34	52.2%	-	-	0.34
2.06	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	1.35	-	-	-	-	1.35	80.0%	-	-	1.35
2.07	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	-	-	1.03	80.0%	-	-	1.03
3.0	3.0	-	-	-	-	0.09	-	0.63	-	0.86	-	1.58	24.3%	-	-	1.58
GCFH	GCFH	-	-	2.03	8.13	0.09	2.38	0.63	-	3.39	0.00	16.65	74.7%	2.24	0.05	14.36
Pond A6		-	-	2.03	8.13	0.09	2.38	0.63	-	3.39	-	16.65	74.7%	2.24	0.05	14.36
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Total On-site		-	-	2.03	8.91	0.09	2.38	0.63	-	11.55	-	25.59	57.9%	3.42	1.24	20.93

NRCS Soil Group	Storm Return Period						
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
A	$C_A = 0.84i^{1.302}$	$C_A = 0.86i^{1.276}$	$C_A = 0.87i^{1.232}$	$C_A = 0.84i^{1.124}$	$C_A = 0.85i+0.025$	$C_A = 0.78i+0.110$	$C_A = 0.65i+0.254$
B	$C_B = 0.84i^{1.169}$	$C_B = 0.86i^{1.088}$	$C_B = 0.81i+0.057$	$C_B = 0.63i+0.249$	$C_B = 0.56i+0.328$	$C_B = 0.47i+0.426$	$C_B = 0.37i+0.536$
C/D	$C_{CD} = 0.83i^{1.122}$	$C_{CD} = 0.82i+0.035$	$C_{CD} = 0.74i+0.132$	$C_{CD} = 0.56i+0.319$	$C_{CD} = 0.49i+0.393$	$C_{CD} = 0.41i+0.484$	$C_{CD} = 0.32i+0.588$

Basin ID	% Imperv.	<i>i</i>	Soil Type	Runoff Coefficients, C				Basin Area	Total Area	Weighted Runoff Coefficients, C			
				2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	100-Year			2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	100-Year
OS-A2	5.0%	0.05	A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.15	12.94 8.24	21.18	0.03	0.05	0.13	0.47
			B	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.45						
			C or D	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.50						
OS-A3	5.0%	0.05	A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.15	7.99 2.04	10.03	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.46
			B	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.45						
			C or D	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.50						
OS-A4	5.0%	0.05	A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.15	25.81 6.32	32.13	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.46
			B	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.45						
			C or D	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.50						
OS-A5	5.0%	0.05	A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.15	14.59 1.29	15.88	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.45
			B	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.45						
			C or D	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.50						
OS-A6	17.8%	0.18	A	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.25	23.41	23.41	0.11	0.13	0.20	0.51
			B	0.11	0.13	0.20	0.51						
			C or D	0.12	0.18	0.26	0.56						
OS-A7	29.0%	0.29	A	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.34	2.88 2.21	5.08	0.20	0.25	0.32	0.58
			B	0.20	0.22	0.29	0.56						
			C or D	0.21	0.27	0.35	0.60						
OS-A8	5.0%	0.05	A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.15	2.04	2.04	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.50
			B	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.45						
			C or D	0.03	0.08	0.17	0.50						

Basin ID	% Imperv.	<i>i</i>	Soil Type	Runoff Coefficients, C				Basin Area	Total Area	Weighted Runoff Coefficients, C			
				2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	100-Year			2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	100-Year
1.08	89.8%	0.90	A	0.73	0.75	0.76	0.81	1.91	3.16	0.73	0.76	0.78	0.83
			B	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.85						
			C or D	0.74	0.77	0.80	0.85						
1.11	95.0%	0.95	A	0.79	0.81	0.82	0.85		0.71	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.87
			B	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.87						
			C or D	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.87						
1.12	95.0%	0.95	A	0.79	0.81	0.82	0.85	0.02	0.35	0.78	0.81	0.83	0.87
			B	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.87						
			C or D	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.87						
1.13	78.6%	0.79	A	0.61	0.63	0.65	0.72	0.17	0.76	0.63	0.67	0.70	0.79
			B	0.63	0.66	0.69	0.80						
			C or D	0.63	0.68	0.71	0.81						
2.01	95.0%	0.95	A	0.79	0.81	0.82	0.85		0.70	0.78	0.81	0.83	0.87
			B	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.87						
			C or D	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.87						
2.02	95.0%	0.95	A	0.79	0.81	0.82	0.85		0.48	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.87
			B	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.87						
			C or D	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.87						
2.03	20.0%	0.20	A	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.27		0.32	0.14	0.20	0.28	0.57
			B	0.13	0.15	0.22	0.52						
			C or D	0.14	0.20	0.28	0.57						
2.04	68.4%	0.68	A	0.51	0.53	0.54	0.64		1.49	0.54	0.60	0.64	0.76
			B	0.54	0.57	0.61	0.75						
			C or D	0.54	0.60	0.64	0.76						
2.05	52.2%	0.52	A	0.36	0.38	0.39	0.52		0.34	0.40	0.46	0.52	0.70
			B	0.39	0.42	0.48	0.67						
			C or D	0.40	0.46	0.52	0.70						
2.06	80.0%	0.80	A	0.63	0.65	0.66	0.73		1.35	0.65	0.69	0.72	0.81
			B	0.65	0.67	0.71	0.80						
			C or D	0.65	0.69	0.72	0.81						
2.07	80.0%	0.80	A	0.63	0.65	0.66	0.73		1.03	0.65	0.69	0.72	0.81
			B	0.65	0.67	0.71	0.80						
			C or D	0.65	0.69	0.72	0.81						
3.0	24.3%	0.24	A	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.30		1.58	0.17	0.23	0.31	0.58
			B	0.16	0.18	0.25	0.54						
			C or D	0.17	0.23	0.31	0.58						

GCFH Proposed Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-092

Prepared By: LCC

TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATIONS | BASIN A

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 Section 2.4

NRCS Conveyance factors, K -REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 RUNOFF Table 6-2

SF-2 Heavy Meadow 3 Short Grass Pasture & Lawns 7 Grassed Waterway 15
Tillage/field 5 Nearly Bare Ground 10 Paved Area & Shallow Gutter 20

SUB-BASIN DATA			INITIAL / OVERLAND TIME			CHANNEL / TRAVEL TIME T(t)						T(c) CHECK (URBANIZED BASINS)		FINAL T(c)
DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	C(5)	Length ft.	Slope %	T(i) min	Length ft.	Slope %	Coeff.	Velocity fps	T(t) min.	COMP. T(c)	% IMPER-VIOUS	USDCM Eq. 6-5	min.
OS-A2	21.18	0.05	500	11.0	19.2	1715	5.8	7	1.7	16.9	36.1	5.0%	37.4	36.1
OS-A3	10.03	0.04	500	4.6	25.8	1110	5.6	7	1.7	11.2	37.0	5.0%	33.2	33.2
OS-A3.1	2.12	0.07	380	7.9	18.3	1350	5.2	7	1.6	14.1	32.4	5.0%	35.3	32.4
OS-A3.2	1.55	0.06	150	8.7	11.3	1315	5.3	7	1.6	13.6	24.9	5.0%	34.9	24.9
OS-A4	32.13	0.04	350	11.4	16.0	2000	5.0	7	1.6	21.3	37.3	5.0%	40.5	37.3
OS-A5	15.88	0.04	500	17.0	16.9	1850	5.1	7	1.6	19.4	36.3	5.0%	39.2	36.3
OS-A6	23.41	0.13	200	12.5	10.7	1850	7.0	7	1.9	16.6	27.4	17.8%	33.1	27.4
OS-A7	5.08	0.25	200	6.0	12.1	580	7.4	7	1.9	5.1	17.2	29.0%	23.8	17.2
OS-A8	2.04	0.08	126	10.0	9.7	130	11.5	7	2.4	0.9	10.6	5.0%	25.8	10.6
A1	0.60	0.81	40	2.5	2.5	365	3.0	20	3.5	1.8	4.2	95.0%	11.4	5.0
A3.1	2.38	0.20	75	20.0	5.2	350	3.7	20	3.9	1.5	6.8	20.0%	25.2	6.8
A3.2	1.12	0.16	90	16.7	6.4	270	4.1	7	1.4	3.2	9.6	20.0%	24.5	9.6
A3.3	0.17	0.81	35	2.3	2.4	270	1.5	20	2.4	1.8	4.2	95.0%	11.5	5.0
A3.4	0.46	0.11	50	8.0	6.4	225	1.1	7	0.7	5.1	11.4	20.0%	25.6	11.4
A4.1	0.14	0.17	50	4.0	7.5	315	2.9	20	3.4	1.6	9.0	20.0%	25.2	9.0
A4	0.64	0.81	45	3.3	2.4	455	2.9	20	3.4	2.2	4.6	95.0%	11.9	5.0
A6.1	2.62	0.18	160	21.9	7.6	180	2.2	15	2.2	1.3	9.0	20.0%	24.3	9.0
A6.2	0.71	0.20	90	20.0	5.7	75	2.7	7	1.1	1.1	6.8	20.0%	23.2	6.8
A7	0.67	0.81	85	2.4	3.6	460	2.8	20	3.4	2.3	5.9	95.0%	11.9	5.9
A9.1	0.75	0.45	65	2.3	7.2	290	3.8	20	3.9	1.2	8.4	50.6%	18.9	8.4
A9.2	0.59	0.52	65	2.3	6.4	275	4.0	20	4.0	1.1	7.6	58.8%	17.3	7.6
A9.3	3.25	0.20	40	20.0	3.8	1050	3.8	15	2.9	6.0	9.8	20.0%	30.2	9.8
1.01	0.54	0.20	140	21.4	7.0						7.0	20.0%		7.0
1.02	0.35	0.81	70	5.0	2.5	270	2.2	20	3.0	1.5	4.0	95.0%	11.2	5.0
1.03	0.12	0.29	65	4.6	7.2						7.2	30.6%		7.2
1.04	0.79	0.76	45	2.2	3.1	490	2.0	20	2.9	2.9	6.0	88.7%	13.6	6.0
1.05	0.62	0.81	70	5.0	2.5	270	2.2	20	3.0	1.5	4.0	95.0%	11.2	5.0
1.06	1.40	0.67	45	4.4	3.2	540	3.0	20	3.4	2.6	5.8	77.4%	15.5	5.8
1.07	0.56	0.73	110	2.3	5.3	70	1.0	20	2.0	0.6	5.9	86.1%	11.9	5.9
1.08	3.16	0.76	285	3.0	7.3	240	1.9	20	2.7	1.5	8.7	89.8%	12.1	8.7
1.11	0.71	0.81	70	5.0	2.5	400	2.0	20	2.8	2.4	4.9	95.0%	12.0	5.0
1.12	0.35	0.81	70	5.0	2.5	230	2.2	20	2.9	1.3	3.8	95.0%	11.0	5.0
1.13	0.76	0.67	32	3.1	3.0			20			3.0	78.6%		5.0

SUB-BASIN DATA			INITIAL / OVERLAND TIME			CHANNEL / TRAVEL TIME T(t)						T(c) CHECK (URBANIZED BASINS)		FINAL T(c) min.
DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	C(5)	Length ft.	Slope %	T(i) min	Length ft.	Slope %	Coeff.	Velocity fps	T(t) min.	COMP. T(c)	% IMPER- VIOUS	USDCM Eq . 6-5	
2.01	0.70	0.81	144	24.3	2.2	240	1.5	20	2.4	1.7	3.8	95.0%	11.3	5.0
2.02	0.48	0.81	140	2.5	4.5	105	1.4	20	2.4	0.7	5.2	95.0%	10.5	5.2
2.03	0.32	0.20	105	23.8	5.9	50	2.0	7	1.0	0.8	6.7	20.0%	23.1	6.7
2.04	1.49	0.60	40	2.5	4.3	640	1.1	20	2.1	5.1	9.4	68.4%	19.9	9.4
2.05	0.34	0.46	40	2.5	5.4	165	3.6	20	3.8	0.7	6.1	52.2%	18.0	6.1
2.06	1.35	0.69	50	2.0	4.2	400	1.0	20	2.0	3.3	7.5	80.0%	15.7	7.5
2.07	1.03	0.69	50	2.0	4.2	550	1.0	20	2.0	4.6	8.7	80.0%	16.9	8.7
3.0	1.58	0.23	102	11.8	7.0						7.0	24.3%		7.0

GCFH Proposed Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-092

Prepared By: LCC

RATIONAL METHOD PEAK RUNOFF

5-Year STORM Rainfall Depth-Duration-Frequency (1-hr) = **1.43**

SF-3

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 EQ 5-1 & EQ 6-1

BASIN INFORMATION				DIRECT RUNOFF			
DESIGN POINT	DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	5yr Runoff COEFF	T(c) min	C x A	I in/hr	Q cfs
OSA2	OS-A2	21.18	0.05	36.1	1.05	2.01	2.11
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	0.04	33.2	0.42	2.11	0.88
OSA3.1	OS-A3.1	2.12	0.07	32.4	0.15	2.14	0.33
OSA3.2	OS-A3.2	1.55	0.06	24.9	0.09	2.50	0.23
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	0.04	37.3	1.33	1.97	2.62
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	0.04	36.3	0.58	2.00	1.16
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	0.13	27.4	3.07	2.37	7.27
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	0.25	17.2	1.25	3.04	3.79
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	0.08	10.6	0.16	3.78	0.59
A1	A1	0.60	0.81	5.0	0.48	4.85	2.34
A3.1	A3.1	2.38	0.20	6.8	0.47	4.45	2.11
A3.2	A3.2	1.12	0.16	9.6	0.18	3.94	0.70
A3.3	A3.3	0.17	0.81	5.0	0.14	4.85	0.66
A3.4	A3.4	0.46	0.11	11.4	0.05	3.66	0.19
A4.1	A4.1	0.14	0.17	9.0	0.02	4.02	0.10
A4	A4	0.64	0.81	5.0	0.52	4.85	2.51
A6.1	A6.1	2.62	0.18	9.0	0.46	4.03	1.87
A6.2	A6.2	0.71	0.20	6.8	0.14	4.43	0.63
A7	A7	0.67	0.81	5.9	0.55	4.64	2.53
A9.1	A9.1	0.75	0.45	8.4	0.34	4.13	1.39
A9.2	A9.2	0.59	0.52	7.6	0.31	4.28	1.31
A9.3	A9.3	3.25	0.20	9.8	0.65	3.90	2.52
1.0	1.01	0.54	0.20	7.0	0.11	4.40	0.47
1.1	1.02	0.35	0.81	5.0	0.28	4.85	1.38
1.2	1.03	0.12	0.29	7.2	0.03	4.36	0.15
1.3	1.04	0.79	0.76	6.0	0.60	4.61	2.78
1.4	1.05	0.62	0.81	5.0	0.50	4.85	2.45
1.5	1.06	1.40	0.67	5.8	0.94	4.66	4.36
1.6	1.07	0.56	0.73	5.9	0.41	4.64	1.91
1.7	1.08	3.16	0.76	8.7	2.40	4.07	9.76
1.11	1.11	0.71	0.81	5.0	0.58	4.85	2.80
1.12	1.12	0.35	0.81	5.0	0.28	4.85	1.38
1.13	1.13	0.76	0.67	5.0	0.51	4.85	2.47
2.0	2.01	0.70	0.81	5.0	0.57	4.85	2.76
2.1	2.02	0.48	0.81	5.2	0.39	4.79	1.87
2.2	2.03	0.32	0.20	6.7	0.06	4.46	0.28
2.3	2.04	1.49	0.60	9.4	0.89	3.97	3.52
2.4	2.05	0.34	0.46	6.1	0.16	4.59	0.72
2.5	2.06	1.35	0.69	7.5	0.93	4.30	4.01
2.6	2.07	1.03	0.69	8.7	0.71	4.07	2.90
3.0	3.0	1.58	0.23	7.0	0.37	4.40	1.63

GCFH Proposed Hydrology

CORE Project #: 25-092

Prepared By: LCC

RATIONAL METHOD PEAK RUNOFF

100-YR STORM

SF-3


Rainfall Depth-Duration-Frequency (1-hr) = 2.60

-REFERENCE UDFCD Vol.1 EQ 5-1 & EQ 6-1

BASIN INFORMATION				DIRECT RUNOFF			
DESIGN POINT	DRAIN BASIN	AREA ac.	100YR RUNOFF COEFF	T(c) min	C x A	I in/hr	Q cfs
OSA2	OS-A2	21.18	0.47	36.1	9.98	3.65	36.38
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	0.46	33.2	4.62	3.84	17.73
OSA3.1	OS-A3.1	2.12	0.50	32.4	1.06	3.90	4.12
OSA3.2	OS-A3.2	1.55	0.48	24.9	0.74	4.54	3.38
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	0.46	37.3	14.79	3.58	52.88
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	0.45	36.3	7.21	3.64	26.22
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	0.51	27.4	11.92	4.30	51.32
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	0.58	17.2	2.95	5.53	16.31
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	0.50	10.6	1.03	6.87	7.07
A1	A1	0.60	0.85	5.0	0.51	8.82	4.50
A3.1	A3.1	2.38	0.57	6.8	1.35	8.08	10.89
A3.2	A3.2	1.12	0.43	9.6	0.48	7.16	3.44
A3.3	A3.3	0.17	0.85	5.0	0.14	8.82	1.28
A3.4	A3.4	0.46	0.27	11.4	0.12	6.66	0.81
A4.1	A4.1	0.14	0.48	9.0	0.07	7.31	0.49
A4	A4	0.64	0.86	5.0	0.55	8.82	4.87
A6.1	A6.1	2.62	0.55	9.0	1.43	7.34	10.48
A6.2	A6.2	0.71	0.57	6.8	0.40	8.05	3.24
A7	A7	0.67	0.87	5.9	0.59	8.44	4.94
A9.1	A9.1	0.75	0.69	8.4	0.52	7.50	3.89
A9.2	A9.2	0.59	0.73	7.6	0.43	7.78	3.33
A9.3	A9.3	3.25	0.57	9.8	1.84	7.09	13.04
A9	A9	4.59	0.61	5.0	2.79	8.82	24.57
1.0	1.01	0.54	0.57	7.0	0.31	7.99	2.44
1.1	1.02	0.35	0.87	5.0	0.31	8.82	2.70
1.2	1.03	0.12	0.61	7.2	0.07	7.94	0.58
1.3	1.04	0.79	0.85	6.0	0.67	8.38	5.62
1.4	1.05	0.62	0.87	5.0	0.54	8.82	4.78
1.5	1.06	1.40	0.80	5.8	1.12	8.47	9.50
1.6	1.07	0.56	0.82	5.9	0.46	8.43	3.89
1.7	1.08	3.16	0.83	8.7	2.61	7.41	19.36
1.11	1.11	0.71	0.87	5.0	0.62	8.82	5.47
1.12	1.12	0.35	0.87	5.0	0.31	8.82	2.69
1.13	1.13	0.76	0.79	5.0	0.60	8.82	5.28
2.0	2.01	0.70	0.87	5.0	0.61	8.82	5.39
2.1	2.02	0.48	0.87	5.2	0.42	8.71	3.65
2.2	2.03	0.32	0.57	6.7	0.18	8.11	1.47
2.3	2.04	1.49	0.76	9.4	1.14	7.22	8.22
2.4	2.05	0.34	0.70	6.1	0.24	8.34	1.98
2.5	2.06	1.35	0.81	7.5	1.10	7.82	8.57
2.6	2.07	1.03	0.81	8.7	0.84	7.40	6.19
3.0	3.0	1.58	0.58	7.0	0.92	7.99	7.37

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.07 (June 2025)

Basin ID: Pond A6



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Selected SCM Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	16.65	acres
Watershed Length =	1,100	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	600	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.035	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	74.70%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	13.5%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.3%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	86.2%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	Castle Pines - City Office	

Optional User Overrides

Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.413	acre-feet		acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	1.268	acre-feet		acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.06 in.) =	1.044	acre-feet	1.06	inches
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.43 in.) =	1.529	acre-feet	1.43	inches
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.66 in.) =	1.841	acre-feet	1.66	inches
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.72 in.) =	1.957	acre-feet		inches
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.01 in.) =	2.362	acre-feet		inches
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.6 in.) =	3.225	acre-feet		inches
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.07 in.) =	3.901	acre-feet	2.60	inches
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.971	acre-feet		
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	1.422	acre-feet		
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.634	acre-feet		
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.598	acre-feet		
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.719	acre-feet		
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	2.126	acre-feet		


Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.413	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.855	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.858	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	2.126	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV})	=	user	ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV})	=	user	
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV})	=	user	
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR})	=	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR})	=	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR})	=	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR})	=	user	ft ²
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR})	=	user	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN})	=	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN})	=	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN})	=	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN})	=	user	ft ²
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN})	=	user	ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{TOTAL})	=	user	acre-feet

6/11/2026, 6:11 AM

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.07 (June 2025)




DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.07 (June 2025)

Project: Gold Crown Field House Full buildout

Basin ID: Pond A6



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

	Estimated Stage (ft)	Estimated Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.64	0.413	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	4.88	0.855	Rectangular Orifice
Zone 3 (100-year)	6.63	0.858	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
Total (all zones)		2.126	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration SCM)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = ft (distance below the filtration media surface)

Underdrain Orifice Diameter = inches

Underdrain Orifice Area = ft²

Underdrain Orifice Centroid = feet

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation SCM)

Centroid of Lowest Orifice = 0.00 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 4.88 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = 19.50 inches

Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = 2.12 sq. inches (diameter = 1-5/8 inches)

WQ Orifice Area per Row = 1.472E-02 ft²

Elliptical Half-Width = N/A feet

Elliptical Slot Centroid = N/A feet

Elliptical Slot Area = N/A ft²

Calculated Parameters for Plate

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.63	3.25					
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	2.12	2.12	2.12					

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

Invert of Vertical Orifice = 2.64 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice = 4.88 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Vertical Orifice Height = 2.00 inches

Vertical Orifice Width = 2.00 inches

Vertical Orifice Area = 0.03 ft²

Vertical Orifice Centroid = 0.08 feet

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe)

Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, H_o = 4.90 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = 6.00 feet

Overflow Weir Grate Slope = 0.00 H:V

Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = 3.00 feet

Overflow Grate Type = Close Mesh Grate

Debris Clogging % = 50%

Height of Grate Upper Edge, H_u = 4.90 feet

Overflow Weir Slope Length = 3.00 feet

Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area = 9.06

Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris = 14.24 ft²

Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris = 7.12 ft²

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = 0.00 ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Outlet Pipe Diameter = 24.00 inches

Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = 12.00 inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

Outlet Orifice Area = 1.57 ft²

Outlet Orifice Centroid = 0.58 feet

Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe = 1.57 radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage = 6.50 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Spillway Crest Length = 20.00 feet

Spillway End Slopes = 10.00 H:V

Freeboard above Max Water Surface = 1.00 feet

Spillway Design Flow Depth = 0.85 feet

Stage at Top of Freeboard = 8.35 feet

Basin Area at Top of Freeboard = 0.65 acres

Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard = 3.15 acre-ft

Calculated Parameters for Spillway


Routed Hydrograph Results

The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF).

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period =	N/A	N/A	1.06	1.43	1.66	1.72	2.01	2.60	3.07
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.06	1.43	1.66	1.72	2.01	2.60	3.07
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.413	1.268	1.044	1.529	1.841	1.957	2.362	3.225	3.901
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	1.044	1.529	1.841	1.957	2.362	3.225	3.901
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	1.2	6.3	9.2	12.4	17.1	27.2	34.8
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A							
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.07	0.38	0.55	0.75	1.03	1.63	2.09
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	20.6	30.2	35.0	37.9	46.2	63.6	76.3
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.2	0.6	0.5	2.7	5.8	8.3	15.1	18.3	32.0
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.9
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Outlet Plate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1	0.4	0.5	1.0	1.2	1.3
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	39	62	59	64	63	62	60	57	54
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	66	62	68	68	68	67	66	65
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	2.64	4.88	4.19	5.07	5.21	5.30	5.52	6.43	6.83
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.33	0.44	0.40	0.45	0.46	0.46	0.48	0.53	0.55
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.414	1.270	0.974	1.355	1.419	1.460	1.559	2.016	2.238

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.07 (June 2025)



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

Time Interval	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	1.04
	0:15:00	0	0.00	2.37	4.79	5.92	3.10	4.40	5.55	7.10
	0:20:00	0	0.00	9.23	13.08	15.60	8.30	10.21	13.12	16.29
	0:25:00	0	0.00	19.23	28.53	34.54	18.01	22.04	28.77	36.06
	0:30:00	0	0.00	20.59	30.15	35.03	37.93	46.20	61.05	73.68
	0:35:00	0	0.00	17.07	24.45	28.33	37.82	45.60	63.57	76.30
	0:40:00	0	0.00	13.82	19.34	22.44	33.22	39.91	54.92	65.82
	0:45:00	0	0.00	10.50	15.14	17.92	26.65	31.99	45.87	54.92
	0:50:00	0	0.00	8.34	12.45	14.38	22.16	26.57	37.58	45.01
	0:55:00	0	0.00	6.70	9.89	11.68	17.27	20.76	30.56	36.63
	1:00:00	0	0.00	5.39	7.88	9.53	13.66	16.45	25.36	30.40
	1:05:00	0	0.00	4.59	6.62	8.22	10.92	13.18	21.28	25.54
	1:10:00	0	0.00	3.75	6.11	7.76	8.37	10.08	15.30	18.48
	1:15:00	0	0.00	3.31	5.55	7.61	7.07	8.51	11.95	14.52
	1:20:00	0	0.00	3.05	4.98	6.86	5.79	6.97	8.79	10.69
	1:25:00	0	0.00	2.91	4.62	5.85	5.03	6.04	6.83	8.31
	1:30:00	0	0.00	2.82	4.39	5.18	4.25	5.07	5.65	6.87
	1:35:00	0	0.00	2.75	4.25	4.75	3.76	4.46	4.87	5.92
	1:40:00	0	0.00	2.71	3.69	4.46	3.45	4.07	4.39	5.34
	1:45:00	0	0.00	2.70	3.31	4.28	3.26	3.83	4.15	5.04
	1:50:00	0	0.00	2.70	3.07	4.15	3.16	3.70	4.06	4.92
	1:55:00	0	0.00	2.22	2.93	3.92	3.10	3.63	4.03	4.89
	2:00:00	0	0.00	1.90	2.71	3.49	3.07	3.59	4.03	4.89
	2:05:00	0	0.00	1.21	1.73	2.24	1.98	2.31	2.59	3.14
	2:10:00	0	0.00	0.75	1.07	1.40	1.25	1.46	1.64	1.99
	2:15:00	0	0.00	0.45	0.65	0.85	0.77	0.90	1.00	1.22
	2:20:00	0	0.00	0.25	0.38	0.49	0.45	0.53	0.59	0.72
	2:25:00	0	0.00	0.13	0.21	0.27	0.26	0.30	0.33	0.40
	2:30:00	0	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.18
	2:35:00	0	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05
	2:40:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:45:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:50:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:55:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:00:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:05:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.07 (June 2025)

Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically.

The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

[illegible]

APPENDIX C

HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

NOT UTILIZED

APPENDIX D

WATER QUALITY ENHANCEMENT CONTROL MEASURES

Permanent Water Quality Determination Worksheet (Taken from 2019 SDDTCM Sections 13.1.4 and 13.1.5)

Project: Gold Crown Field House

Project #: 25-092-001

Applicant: CORE Consultants, Inc.

1 Non-applicable Sites			
Section	Question	Response	Instructions
1.1	Will the project result in a temporary disturbance of greater than one acre?	No Yes	Proceed to section 1.2. Proceed to section 2.
1.2	Is the project part of a larger common plan of development or sale where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules, but remain related and are within 1/4 mile of each other? (A common plan of development will generally be documented on a site development plan, master plan, or equivalent, for the property/project)	No Yes	Proceed to section 1.3. Proceed to section 2.
1.3	Is the project within the Cherry Creek Watershed?	Yes No	Permanent water quality control measures may be required. Refer to Water Quality Control Regulation 72.7 for details and allowable exclusions. Permanent water quality control measures are not required.
2 Applicable Site Types and Exclusions by Variance			
Section	Question	Response	Instructions
2.1	Does the project have more than 35% impervious area on the existing site?	No Yes	Project is an applicable Development Site. Proceed to section 3. Proceed to 2.2.
2.2	Does the project have greater than 75% impervious area on the existing site?	No Yes	Project is an applicable Redevelopment Site. Proceed to section 3. Project is an applicable Constrained Redevelopment Site. Proceed to 2.3.
2.3	Will 100% of the runoff from the existing and proposed site be captured and treated using one or more of the allowable design standards for permanent water quality?	Yes No	No further action required. Proceed to 2.4.
2.4	Do any other water quality exclusions apply to the site?	No Yes	Portions of the project are excluded. Proceed with design in accordance with Constrained Redevelopment standards and apply for variance for <u>Constrained Redevelopment Sites</u> . Proceed to section 3. Following approval of other exclusions, design remaining site in accordance with Constrained Redevelopment standards and apply for variance for <u>Constrained Redevelopment Sites</u> .

3	Applicable Sites with Other Exclusions by Variance		
3.1	Category 1: Roadways, bridges and trails only		
3.1.1	Is the project a bike or pedestrian trail?	Yes No	Proceed to next line. Proceed to 3.1.2.
.	Is the trail for a roadway?	Yes No	Proceed to 3.1.2. Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Trails Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> .
3.1.2	Is the project primarily used for parking or access to parking?	Yes No	This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required. Proceed to 3.1.3.
3.1.3	Does the project add impervious area?	No Yes	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Pavement Management Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to 3.1.4.
3.1.4	Does the project add more than 8.25 feet of paved width at any location to the existing roadway?	No Yes	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Roadway Redevelopment Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to next line.
.	Does the project add more than one acre of paved area per mile to an existing roadway?	No Yes	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Roadway Redevelopment Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to next line.
.	Does the project increase the width by two times or more, on average, of the original roadway area?	No Yes	Existing areas of site are excluded only. Submit variance for <u>Existing Roadway Surfaces Only Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.
3.2	Category 2: Non-residential and non-commercial projects only		
3.2.1	Is the project associated with oil and gas exploration, production, processing, or treatment operations or transmission facilities, including activities necessary to prepare a site for drilling and the movement and placement of drilling equipment?	Yes No	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Oil and Gas Exploration Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to 3.2.2.
3.2.2	Is the project for stream stabilization?	Yes No	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Stream Stabilization Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to 3.2.3.
3.2.3	Is the project for installation or maintenance of aboveground or underground utilities or infrastructure that does not permanently alter the terrain, ground cover, or drainage patterns from those present prior to the construction activity including activities to install, replace or maintain utilities under roadways or other paved areas that return the surface to the same condition?	Yes No	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Aboveground and Underground Utilities Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to 3.2.4.
3.2.4	Is the project on undeveloped land (land with no human-made structures such as buildings or pavement) that will remain undeveloped after the project?	Yes No	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Sites with Land Disturbances to Undeveloped Land that will Remain Undeveloped Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to 3.2.5.
3.2.5	Will the post-project condition result in surface water discharge during the 80th percentile storm runoff event?	No Yes	Project is excluded. Submit study along with variance for <u>Non-residential and Non-commercial Infiltration Conditions Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.

Section	Question	Response	Instructions
3.3	Category 3: Residential, Agricultural and Commercial projects		
3.3.1	Is the project a single-family residential lot, or agricultural zoned lands, greater than or equal to 2.5 acres in size per dwelling unit?	Yes	Proceed to next line.
.		No	Proceed to 3.3.2.
.	Is the project site greater than 10% impervious?	No	Project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Large Lot Single Family Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> .
.		Yes	Proceed to next line.
.	Is the project site greater than 20% impervious?	Yes	This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.
.		No	Proceed to next line.
.	Will the post-project condition result in surface water discharge during the 80th percentile storm runoff event?	No	Project is excluded. Submit study along with variance for <u>Large Lot Single Family Sites Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> .
.		Yes	This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.
3.3.2	Will 100% of the runoff from the residential or commercial site be captured?	Yes	This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.
.		No	Proceed to next line.
.	Is all or a portion of the site undeveloped or will it remain undeveloped after construction?	Yes	This portion of the project is excluded. Submit variance for <u>Sites with Land Disturbance to Undeveloped Land that will Remain Undeveloped Excluded from Permanent Water Quality Requirements</u> . Proceed to next line.
.		No	Proceed to next line.
.	Is the remaining untreated impervious area greater than 20% of the site?	Yes	This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.
.		No	Proceed to next line.
.	Is the remaining untreated impervious area greater than one acre?	Yes	This exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.
.		No	Proceed to next line.
.	Is it practicable to capture runoff from portions of the site that will not drain to a control measure?	No	Document justification demonstrating that it is not practicable to capture runoff from this portion of the site in the drainage report . No further action is needed.
.		Yes	TA36:D72his exclusion does not apply. Permanent water quality is required.



Summary of Water Quality Conformance to MS4 Permit

The purpose of this worksheet is to document conformance with the Town of Castle Rock's MS4 permit with regard to permanent water quality on land disturbance projects.

Project Name Gold Crown Field House

Project Owner Gold Crown Foundation

Project Location Dawson Trails Filing No. 1, Tract F

ToCR Project Number TBD

Total Site Area 25.78 acres

Total Size of Common Plan of Development 16.80 acres

Check all that apply:

☒ **Project within Plum Creek Basin**

☐ **Project within Cherry Creek Basin**

Estimated construction completion date 05/2028

1. The water quality control measure(s) for applicable development sites shall meet one of the following base design standards as per the SDDTCM Section 14.4. Please select all design standards that were applied to meet conformance with the MS4 on this site.

- ☒ WQCV standard
- ☐ Pollutant removal standard
- ☐ Runoff reduction standard
- ☒ Applicable site draining to regional WQVC control measure (accepts drainage prior to discharging to WOTS)
- ☐ Applicable site draining to regional WQVC control facility (with receiving pervious area control measure upstream of WOTS)
- ☐ Constrained redevelopment sites standard
 - Imperviousness of existing site _____/_____ (Imp. Ac/Site Ac) = ____%
 - Variance required TCV No. _____
- ☐ Existing control measure with WQCV per previous criteria standards
 - Reference Construction Permit No. _____
- ☐ Cherry Creek Basin only, choose one: ☐ Tier 1 ☐ Tier 2 ☐ Tier 3
- ☐ No control measures provided (See Permanent WQ Worksheet for applicability)

Summary of Water Quality Conformance to MS4 Permit

2. Was an exclusion to water quality applied as per SDDTCM Section 13.1.5? ☐ Yes ☒ No

i. If yes, please select all that apply, provide total excluded impervious area(s) and submit variance, as applicable: TCV No. _____

- ☐ Pavement management site
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Excluded roadway redevelopment
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Excluded existing roadway areas
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Above ground and below ground utilities
- ☐ Large lot single family home
- ☐ Non-residential and non-commercial infiltration
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Land disturbance for land to remain undeveloped
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Stream Stabilization Sites
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Trails
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
- ☐ Oil and Gas Exploration

3. For Development and Redevelopment sites, following any exclusions claimed above, was any portion of the site excluded up to 20% of the site and not to exceed one acre? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, complete the following:

Excluded area: _____ acres
Total Site area: _____ acres, _____ % site excluded



4. For Constrained sites, was any portion of the site excluded up to 50% of the site and not to exceed 50% of the impervious area? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, complete the following:

Excluded area: _____ acres
Excluded impervious area: _____ acres
Total Site area: _____ acres, _____ % site excluded
Total Impervious area: _____ acres, _____ % impervious excluded

BACK POCKET

DRAINAGE MAPS



LEGEND	
	BASIN DESIGNATION
	AREA (AC) / IMPERVIOUSNESS
	DESIGN POINT
	DIRECTIONAL FLOW ARROW
	EMERGENCY OVERFLOW ROUTE
	PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASIN
	EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN
	PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
	PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
	EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
	EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
	EASEMENT
	RIGHT OF WAY (R.O.W.)
	CENTERLINE
	PROJECT BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED STORM & STUB OUT
	EXISTING STORM & STUB OUT
	STORM MANHOLES
	STORM INLETS
	FES, FOREBAY, & TRICKLE CHANNEL
	OUTLET STRUCTURE
	CRUSHER FINES
	MAINTENANCE ACCESS
	RIPRAP
	100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN
	WETLAND
	LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
	RETAINING WALL
	DRAINAGE SWALE

RUNOFF SUMMARY TABLE					
DESIGN POINT	BASIN	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS (%)	5-YEAR RUNOFF (CFS)	100-YEAR RUNOFF (CFS)
A3	A-3	8.46	25.0%	6.04	26.86
A6	A-6	12.09	25.0%	6.40	28.42
A9	A-9	7.59	25.0%	4.38	19.48
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	2.0%	0.58	17.36
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	2.0%	1.76	52.45
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	2.0%	0.38	24.98
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	6.3%	2.29	44.94
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	10.0%	1.36	13.22
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	2.0%	0.24	6.40

GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE
PROJECT NO. SDP26-0014

CORE

CORE CONSULTANTS, INC.
3473 S. BROADWAY
DENVER, CO 80113
303.703.4444
LIVEYOURCORE.COM

LAND DEVELOPMENT
ENERGY
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

#

REVISION DESCRIPTION

DATE

BY

TW

1




1ST SUBMITTAL

8/12/2026

GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE
CASTLE ROCK, COLORADO
DRAINAGE MAPS
EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP

DESIGNED BY: LC
DRAWN BY: LI
CHECKED BY: TW

SDP NO.
26-0014
SHEET
1 OF 4



LEGEND

- EXISTING PROPOSED
- BASIN DESIGNATION
 - AREA (AC) / IMPERVIOUSNESS
 - DESIGN POINT
 - DIRECTIONAL FLOW ARROW
 - EMERGENCY OVERFLOW ROUTE
 - PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASIN
 - EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN
 - PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
 - PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
 - EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
 - EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
 - EASEMENT
 - RIGHT OF WAY (R.O.W.)
 - CENTERLINE
 - PROJECT BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED STORM & STUB OUT
 - EXISTING STORM & STUB OUT
 - STORM MANHOLES
 - STORM INLETS
 - FES, FOREBAY, & TRICKLE CHANNEL
 - OUTLET STRUCTURE
 - CRUSHER FINES
 - MAINTENANCE ACCESS
 - RIPRAP
 - 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN
 - WETLAND
 - LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
 - RETAINING WALL
 - DRAINAGE SWALE

RUNOFF SUMMARY TABLE					
DIRECT RUNOFF					
DESIGN POINT	BASIN	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS (%)	5-Year RUNOFF (CFS)	100-Year RUNOFF (CFS)
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	5.0%	0.88	17.73
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	5.0%	2.62	52.88
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	5.0%	1.16	26.22
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	17.8%	7.27	51.32
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	29.0%	3.79	16.31
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	5.0%	0.59	7.07
A1	A1	0.60	95.0%	2.34	4.50
A3	A3	4.13	23.1%	3.01	13.72
A4	A4	0.64	95.0%	2.51	4.87
A6	A6	3.33	20.0%	2.93	16.14
A7	A7	0.67	95.0%	2.53	4.94
A9	A9	4.90	30.0%	6.25	24.57
GCFH	GCFH	16.65	74.7%	42.33	93.41

GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE
PROJECT NO. SDP26-0014

CORE

CORE CONSULTANTS, INC.
8473 S. BROADWAY
DENVER, CO 80113
303.703.4444
LIVEYOURCORE.COM

LAND DEVELOPMENT
ENERGY
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

#	REVISION DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	TW
1	1ST SUBMITTAL	6/12/2026		

GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE
CASTLE ROCK, COLORADO


DRAINAGE MAPS

PROPOSED OVERALL DRAINAGE PLAN

DESIGNED BY: LC
DRAWN BY: LI
CHECKED BY: TW

SDP NO.
26-0014
SHEET
2 OF 4

RUNOFF SUMMARY TABLE					
DIRECT RUNOFF					
DESIGN POINT	BASIN	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS (%)	5-Year RUNOFF (CFS)	100-Year RUNOFF (CFS)
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	5.0%	0.88	17.73
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	5.0%	2.62	52.88
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	5.0%	1.16	26.22
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	17.8%	7.27	51.32
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	29.0%	3.79	16.31
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	5.0%	0.59	7.07
A1	A1	0.60	95.0%	2.34	4.50
A3.1	A3.1	2.38	20.0%	2.11	10.89
A3.2	A3.2	1.12	20.0%	0.70	3.44
A3.3	A3.3	0.17	95.0%	0.66	1.28
A3.4	A3.4	0.46	20.0%	0.19	0.81
A4.1	A4.1	0.14	20.0%	0.10	0.49
A4	A4	0.64	95.0%	2.51	4.87
A6.1	A6.1	2.62	20.0%	1.87	10.48
A6.2	A6.2	0.71	20.0%	0.63	3.24
A7	A7	0.67	95.0%	2.53	4.94
A9.1	A9.1	0.75	50.6%	1.39	3.89
A9.2	A9.2	0.59	58.8%	1.31	3.33
A9.3	A9.3	3.25	20.0%	2.52	13.04
1.0	1.01	0.54	20.0%	0.47	2.44
1.1	1.02	0.35	95.0%	1.38	2.70
1.2	1.03	0.12	30.6%	0.15	0.58
1.3	1.04	0.79	88.7%	2.78	5.62
1.4	1.05	0.62	95.0%	2.45	4.78
1.5	1.06	1.40	77.4%	4.36	9.50
1.6	1.07	0.56	86.1%	1.91	3.89
1.7	1.08	3.16	89.8%	9.76	19.36
1.11	1.11	0.71	95.0%	2.80	5.47
1.12	1.12	0.35	95.0%	1.38	2.69
1.13	1.13	0.76	78.6%	2.47	5.28
2.0	2.01	0.70	95.0%	2.76	5.39
2.1	2.02	0.48	95.0%	1.87	3.65
2.2	2.03	0.32	20.0%	0.28	1.47
2.3	2.04	1.49	68.4%	3.52	8.22
2.4	2.05	0.34	52.2%	0.72	1.98
2.5	2.06	1.35	80.0%	4.01	8.57
2.6	2.07	1.03	80.0%	2.90	6.19
3.0	3.0	1.58	24.3%	1.63	7.37



0 50' 100'
1 inch = 50 ft.

LEGEND

EXISTING	PROPOSED	BASIN DESIGNATION
X	X	AREA (AC) / IMPERVIOUSNESS
0.0 0.0 0.0%	0.0 0.0 0.0%	DESIGN POINT
X	X	DIRECTIONAL FLOW ARROW
X	X	EMERGENCY OVERFLOW ROUTE
X	X	PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASIN
X	X	EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN
X	X	PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
X	X	PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
X	X	EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
X	X	EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
X	X	EASEMENT
X	X	RIGHT OF WAY (R.O.W.)
X	X	CENTERLINE
X	X	PROJECT BOUNDARY
X	X	PROPOSED STORM & STUB OUT
X	X	EXISTING STORM & STUB OUT
X	X	STORM MANHOLES
X	X	STORM INLETS
X	X	FES, FOREBAY, & TRICKLE CHANNEL
X	X	OUTLET STRUCTURE
X	X	CRUSHER FINES
X	X	MAINTENANCE ACCESS
X	X	RIPRAP
X	X	100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN
X	X	WETLAND
X	X	LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
X	X	RETAINING WALL
X	X	DRAINAGE SWALE

CORE


CORE CONSULTANTS, INC.
8473 S. BROADWAY
DENVER, CO 80113
303.703.4444
LIVEYOURCORE.COM

LAND DEVELOPMENT
ENERGY
PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE



GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE
CASTLE ROCK, COLORADO
DRAINAGE MAPS
DETAILED DRAINAGE PLAN - NORTH

DESIGNED BY: LC
DRAWN BY: LI
CHECKED BY: TW

SDP NO.
26-0014
SHEET
3 OF 4



RUNOFF SUMMARY TABLE					
DIRECT RUNOFF					
DESIGN POINT	BA SIN	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS (%)	5-Year RUNOFF (CFS)	100-Year RUNOFF (CFS)
OSA3	OS-A3	10.03	5.0%	0.88	17.73
OSA4	OS-A4	32.13	5.0%	2.62	52.88
OSA5	OS-A5	15.88	5.0%	1.16	26.22
OSA6	OS-A6	23.41	17.8%	7.27	51.32
OSA7	OS-A7	5.08	29.0%	3.79	16.31
OSA8	OS-A8	2.04	5.0%	0.59	7.07
A1	A1	0.60	95.0%	2.34	4.50
A3.1	A3.1	2.38	20.0%	2.11	10.89
A3.2	A3.2	1.12	20.0%	0.70	3.44
A3.3	A3.3	0.17	95.0%	0.66	1.28
A3.4	A3.4	0.46	20.0%	0.19	0.81
A4.1	A4.1	0.14	20.0%	0.10	0.49
A4	A4	0.64	95.0%	2.51	4.87
A6.1	A6.1	2.62	20.0%	1.87	10.48
A6.2	A6.2	0.71	20.0%	0.63	3.24
A7	A7	0.67	95.0%	2.53	4.94
A9.1	A9.1	0.75	50.6%	1.39	3.89
A9.2	A9.2	0.59	58.8%	1.31	3.33
A9.3	A9.3	3.25	20.0%	2.52	13.04
1.0	1.01	0.54	20.0%	0.47	2.44
1.1	1.02	0.35	95.0%	1.38	2.70
1.2	1.03	0.12	30.6%	0.15	0.58
1.3	1.04	0.79	88.7%	2.78	5.62
1.4	1.05	0.62	95.0%	2.45	4.78
1.5	1.06	1.40	77.4%	4.36	9.50
1.6	1.07	0.56	86.1%	1.91	3.89
1.7	1.08	3.16	89.9%	9.76	19.36
1.11	1.11	0.71	95.0%	2.80	5.47
1.12	1.12	0.35	95.0%	1.38	2.69
1.13	1.13	0.76	78.6%	2.47	5.28
2.0	2.01	0.70	95.0%	2.76	5.39
2.1	2.02	0.48	95.0%	1.87	3.65
2.2	2.03	0.32	20.0%	0.28	1.47
2.3	2.04	1.49	68.4%	3.52	8.22
2.4	2.05	0.34	52.2%	0.72	1.98
2.5	2.06	1.35	80.0%	4.01	8.57
2.6	2.07	1.03	80.0%	2.90	6.19
3.0	3.0	1.58	24.3%	1.63	7.37



LAND DEVELOPMENT

ENERGY

PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

CORE CONSULTANTS, INC.

8473 S. BROADWAY

DENVER, CO 80113

303.703.4444

LIVE@YOURCORE.COM

CORE

DESIGNED BY: LC

DRAWN BY: LI

CHECKED BY: TW

SDP NO.

26-0014

SHEET

4 OF 4

GOLD CROWN FIELDHOUSE

CASTLE ROCK, COLORADO

DRAINAGE MAPS

DETAILED DRAINAGE PLAN - SOUTH